UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

400 EAST 62ND PROPERTIES LLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GRUPO CINEMEX, S.A. DE C.V.,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#: _____

DATE FILED: 9/21/2022

20-cv-4917 (ALC)

OPINION & ORDER

ANDREW L. CARTER, JR., United States District Judge:

This dispute arises from a botched lease agreement between Plaintiff 400 East 62nd Properties LLC and Defendant Grupo Cinemex, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican film company. Plaintiff filed this suit on June 26, 2020. On October 20, 2020, this Court issued an order to show cause as Plaintiff had not yet served Grupo Cinemex. In response, Plaintiff requested permission to serve Defendants by alternate service. This Court granted such permission citing *Unite National Retirement Fund v. Ariela, Inc.*, 643 F. Supp. 2d 328, 334 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). Shortly thereafter, Defendant appeared for the limited purposes of contesting the validity of service, requesting permission to file a motion to quash service. The Court granted Defendant's request on November 9, 2021.

Defendant's motion concerns the Court's reliance on *Ariela*, a previous case in this

District granting permission for alternate service on a Mexican defendant. Defendants argue *Ariela* relied on a mistranslation of Mexico's signatory to the Hague Convention. In *Ariela*, the
court used an English translation which stated that Mexico had granted permission for its citizens
to be served by mail or email under the Hague Convention. Defendant argues, however, that the
proper translation states the exact opposite: Mexico expressly requires that service of its citizens
be effectuated via the Mexican Central Authority. In supporting its proposition, Defendant cites

Case 1:20-cv-04917-ALC Document 35 Filed 09/21/22 Page 2 of 2

a litany of cases denying alternate service of Mexican citizens, many of which acknowledge the

previous mistranslation. The Court is now convinced that its reliance on Ariela was misplaced.

Accordingly, Defendant's motion to quash the subpoena is granted. Plaintiff is hereby

ordered to effectuate service on Grupo Cinemex within the confines of the procedures set out in

the Hague Convention.

Given the considerable delay and Plaintiff's previous attempt at service, the Court will

extend Plaintiff's time to serve by six months. This is Plaintiff's final extension. Plaintiff is

directed to file proof of service or documents illustrating all attempts to effectuate service

through Mexico's Central Authority on or before March 21, 2023. The Clerk of the Court is

respectfully directed to terminate ECF No. 28.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

September 21, 2022

New York, New York

ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.

United States Judge

2